



Appeals

Published January 2012



Part B



IMPORTANT



The information provided in this manual was current as of December 2011. Any changes or new information superseding the information in this manual, provided in newsletters/eBulletins, MLN articles, listserv notices, Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or CMS Internet-Only Manuals with publication dates after December 2011, are available at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Medicare.aspx>

© CPT codes, descriptions, and other data only are copyright 2011 American Medical Association. All rights reserved. Applicable FARS/DFARS clauses apply. © CDT codes and descriptions are copyright 2011 American Dental Association. All rights reserved. Applicable FARS/DFARS clauses apply.

Provider Outreach and Education

GA

© 2012 TrailBlazer Health Enterprises®/TrailBlazer®. All rights reserved.



IMPORTANT



Appeals

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| RESUBMIT, REOPEN OR APPEAL? | 1 |
| UNPROCESSABLE/REJECTED CLAIMS | 1 |
| Background | 1 |
| What Happens? | 1 |
| When to Resubmit | 1 |
| Resources | 2 |
| REOPEN | 3 |
| Background | 3 |
| Minor Errors and Omissions | 3 |
| What Claims Are Eligible for a Reopening? | 3 |
| Telephone Reopenings..... | 4 |
| What Is Needed to Request a Telephone Reopening?..... | 4 |
| Express Reopening Adjustments..... | 5 |
| Faxed Reopening Requests | 5 |
| Mailed Reopening Requests..... | 5 |
| Medicare Part B Reopening Request Form | 5 |
| Miscellaneous | 6 |
| Self-Service Tool | 6 |
| Contact Information | 6 |
| APPEALS | 7 |
| Definition..... | 7 |
| Background | 7 |
| Who Can Appeal?..... | 7 |
| Filing a Request for an Appeal on Behalf of the Beneficiary..... | 7 |
| Five Levels of Appeals..... | 8 |
| Contact Information | 9 |
| Appeals Process – Quick Chart..... | 13 |
| Miscellaneous | 15 |
| Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) | 15 |
| REVISION HISTORY | 17 |

Appeals

RESUBMIT, REOPEN OR APPEAL?

Many times, providers are unsure of which steps to take to correct a Medicare claim. The task of staying abreast of Medicare policies and regulations can be overwhelming and providers may be uncertain regarding which process is correct. A common question is, "When should a provider resubmit a claim, request a reopening or begin an appeal process?"

UNPROCESSABLE/REJECTED CLAIMS

Background

CMS and TrailBlazer strive to reduce costs and administrative waste in the Medicare program; therefore, an editing process was implemented for assigned claims. Analysis data show that since implementation, this process has saved millions of dollars for the Medicare Trust Fund.

What Happens?

The current editing process returns paper or electronic claims to the provider as unprocessable if the claim contains certain incomplete or invalid information.

When a claim is rejected as unprocessable (message CO-16) because certain information is missing/incomplete, the claim **may not** be appealed. Payment can only be considered once the claim is resubmitted with the omitted information.

Note: The time limit for submitting a claim is one year from the date of service. If the claim is returned as unprocessable/rejected, a provider has not met his legal obligation for submitting a Medicare claim. Therefore, the claim must be resubmitted with the corrected information as soon as possible to meet the claim filing deadline.

When to Resubmit

There are specific identifiers that signal when a claim must be submitted as new. They are:

- A Medicare Remittance Advice (MRA) message of CO-16 appears. The CO-16 message is a general message code that indicates certain information is missing/incomplete. (For more information on the MRA, refer to the training manual at <http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Publications/TrainingManual/MRA.pdf>.)
- Along with the CO-16 message there will be a second message listed detailing what information is missing.
- The MRA legend/glossary will explain the claim has no appeal rights.

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

Some examples of rejected claims that should be resubmitted as new claims are:

- **Invalid** procedure codes and/or ICD-9-CM codes.
- **Incomplete** information, such as:
 - Billing provider primary identifier (NPI).
 - Drug name and/or dosage.
 - Operative reports (certain modifiers require a report).
 - Invalid Health Insurance Claim Numbers (HICNs).
 - Services payable by a primary insurer.

Note: This is not an inclusive list.

Paper Claims

If resubmitting the claim on paper:

- Do not attach the remittance advice to the claim.
- Do not indicate/stamp “resubmit,” “second request,” “corrected claim,” etc., on the claim.
- Do not send these claims to any Medicare correspondence areas.

Reminder: Mandatory electronic claims filing still applies when resubmitting.

Resources

For more information on unprocessable claims, refer to the *CMS-1500 Claim Form and Unprocessable/Rejected Claims Manual* at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Publications/Training Manual/claim form instructions.pdf>

Appeals

REOPEN

Background

Section 937 of the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) required contractors to establish a process (effective January 1, 2006) for the correction of minor errors and omissions.

Minor Errors and Omissions

There is no need to appeal a claim if the provider made a minor error or omission (clerical error) in filing a claim. Providers can request TrailBlazer to reopen the claim so the clerical error can be corrected. A request for a reopening can be made via telephone, fax or mail.

What Claims Are Eligible for a Reopening?

The types of errors that **can** be corrected through the clerical error reopening process are found on the Part B Reopening Web page at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Appeals/Reopenings/ReopeningsPartB.aspx>

Providers should review the list prior to requesting a reopening. **Any change resulting in an overpayment may not be handled as a reopening.**

Note: There may be instances where an issue cannot be resolved during a telephone reopening process. If the issue is too complex or additional medical documentation is needed from the provider, the telephone representative may elect to inform the caller that a written request for a redetermination or reopening (depending on the situation) must be submitted.

The following is a list of examples that are generally **inappropriate** for a reopening:

- Request submitted with notes or records.
- Additions or corrections to some modifiers.
- An in-depth review is required.
- The need for additional documentation.
- Limitation of liability (waiver issues) that results in reversing a party's liability.
- Overpayment disputes or protests.
- Diagnosis code corrections/additions. (Diagnosis code corrections will no longer be accepted on the telephone reopening line. Diagnosis code changes are generally complex in nature and require in-depth research, so a written request should be submitted for these types of corrections.)
- Overpayments.

Appeals

- The need for complex overpayment calculations or offsets.
- Medical necessity reductions.
- Review of operative reports, office notes, lab/path reports.
- The need for medical staff input.
- An initial determination date that is more than one year prior to the request for a reopening.
- Provider number corrections.
- Ambulance claims corrections.
- Chiropractic claims corrections.
- Multiple surgery pricing situations.
- Medically Unlikely Edits (MUEs).
- Certain modifiers (e.g., KX, GV, GW, 59, etc.).

Important Notes:

- Items/services that were not previously submitted cannot be added through the reopening process, other than those procedures that cannot be filed alone (e.g., mammogram add-on codes).
- Reopenings can be performed for clerical error corrections at the contractor's discretion. There may be situations where the representative (telephone or written) will inform the provider to request a redetermination.
- **A reopening is not an appeal right. However, providers can appeal a reopening decision.**
- If the deductible has been applied on the claim being reopened, it should be submitted as a **written** reopening request. (Providers should use the correct Part B Reopening form.)

Telephone Reopenings

There is a limit of three claim reopening adjustments per call. If a provider has 25 or more requests for the same reason, it is possible an express adjustment can be performed (see section titled, "Express Reopening Adjustments").

What Is Needed to Request a Telephone Reopening?

When requesting a telephone reopening, the caller must provide:

- Provider name, Provider Transaction Access Number (PTAN), National Provider Identifier (NPI) and Tax Identification Number (TIN).
- Beneficiary name.
- Health Insurance Claim Number (HICN).
- Dates of service at issue.
- The specific services or items for which the reopening is being requested.

Appeals

Providers should have their information ready at the time of the call. This will expedite the telephone reopening process.

Express Reopening Adjustments

To correct clerical errors on 25 or more claims for the same reason, providers should be familiar with the express adjustment process:

- Let the Customer Service Representative (CSR) know the request is for an express adjustment because there are 25 or more of the same situation.
- The CSR will take the information from the provider and the request will be handled through this process.
- Have specific information ready, including dates of service, processed dates, procedure codes, billed amounts, modifiers, provider number and Medicare Remittance Advice (MRA) check number.
- Depending on the situation, the CSR will take the information at the time of the call or instruct the caller to fax his information to a specific fax number.
- **Requests for express adjustments will be placed in date received order and processed within 60 calendar days of receipt.**

Faxed Reopening Requests

Providers are able to fax their reopening request(s) to TrailBlazer utilizing the fax numbers shown below. Providers are encouraged to use the correct fax number specific to their state.

Mailed Reopening Requests

Providers have the option to mail their reopening request(s) to TrailBlazer utilizing the correct address designated by state. It is very important for providers to pay close attention regarding appropriate reopening addresses.

Medicare Part B Reopening Request Form

Whether providers elect to fax or mail their reopening request(s), TrailBlazer encourages the use of the Part B Reopening Request Form. It is an interactive, user-friendly tool that can be viewed online. Providers can easily access the form on the TrailBlazer Web site, type information in the appropriate sections and print the desired copies.

- The Part B Reopening Request Form does not contain a section for the name and signature of the requester. This information is not required for a reopening request.
- Do not mail this form for a redetermination/appeal request.
- Do not use this form for general or Medicare Secondary Payer (MSP) inquiries.

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

The TrailBlazer Medicare Part B Reopening Request Form can be viewed, completed and/or printed at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Publications/PDF/Form/PartBReopeningRequestForm.pdf>

Note: Along with the required information, it is acceptable to include a copy of the MRA with the specific claim/patient information highlighted, although it is not mandatory. Be very specific when requesting a written reopening.

Miscellaneous

- Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA) bonus:
 - The TrailBlazer Reopening Request Form **must** be used when requesting adjustments for a (HPSA) bonus. (The Redetermination Request form **should not** be used for these types of requests.)
 - When mailing multiple requests for HPSA bonus adjustments, each provider is encouraged to send **one** Reopening Request form and attach a spreadsheet reflecting the to/from dates or paid date range. **(TrailBlazer would not expect to see a separate request form for each patient.)**

Self-Service Tool

To assist providers in determining whether they should request a reopening or a redetermination, TrailBlazer offers a Part B Redetermination Interactive Decision Tree. Providers will find this self-service tool quick and easy to follow.

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Appeals/RedeterminationorReopeningIDT.aspx>

Contact Information

Telephone numbers, fax numbers and addresses are found on the TrailBlazer Web site at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Appeals/Reopenings/Default.aspx>

Appeals

APPEALS

Definition

An appeal is a written request to Medicare to reconsider a claim.

Background

The purpose of the appeals process is to give dissatisfied providers and beneficiaries a vehicle to request an independent re-evaluation of Medicare's claim decision. Through this process, Medicare seeks to ensure that the correct payment is made and a clear and adequate explanation is given supporting non-payment.

The appeals process utilizes the pertinent Medicare laws, provider documentation and patient record regarding the claim. Each level of appeal must be completed before proceeding to the next level. For example, an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) review may not be requested until after the claim has completed redetermination and reconsideration reviews.

Who Can Appeal?

- Beneficiaries and their representatives.
- Medicaid state agency.
- A provider who has accepted assignment on a claim.
- The provider for certain non-assigned claims (for example, one who has accepted assignment with respect to items or services furnished to a beneficiary, but only for those items or services billed on an assigned basis).

Note: If the physician requests an appeal, the beneficiary does not lose his right to appeal the claim.

Filing a Request for an Appeal on Behalf of the Beneficiary

For written appeals filed on behalf of the beneficiary, there are special rules. These policies are defined in the Internet-Only Manual (IOM) Pub. 100-04, *Medicare Claims Processing Manual*, Chapter 29, Section 310.1:

<http://www.cms.gov/manuals/downloads/clm104c29.pdf>

Appeals

Five Levels of Appeals

- Redeterminations.
- Reconsiderations.
- ALJ.
- Medicare Appeals Council (MAC) review (part of the Departmental Appeals Board).
- Judicial review in U.S. District Court.

Redeterminations

Our Mandate

The Medicare contractor is mandated to complete redeterminations within 60 days of receipt. The redetermination notice must be mailed to the parties within this 60-day period.

To process a redetermination as quickly as possible, all documentation relevant to the request should be filed. Otherwise, a redetermination will be made based on the information at hand.

Written Redetermination Requests and the Medicare Part B Redetermination Request Form

Written redetermination requests may be submitted on Form CMS-20027 or the TrailBlazer Medicare Part B Redetermination Request Form. This form is an interactive, user-friendly tool that can be viewed on the TrailBlazer Web site. Providers can easily access the form, type information in the appropriate sections and print the desired copies.

Providers may obtain the Form CMS-20027 from the CMS Web site at:

<http://www.cms.gov/cmsforms/downloads/cms20027.pdf>

The TrailBlazer Medicare Part B Redetermination Request Form can be viewed, completed and/or printed at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Publications/PDF/Form/PartBRedeterminationRequestForm.pdf>

If neither form is used for a written redetermination request, the request must be submitted with all the following:

- Beneficiary name.
- Beneficiary's Health Insurance Claim Number (HICN).
- Dates of service at issue.

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

- The specific services or items for which the redetermination is being requested.
- Name and signature of the party or representative of the party.
- Provider information such as Provider Transaction Access Number (PTAN), National Provider Identifier (NPI) and Tax Identification Number (TIN). (This is not needed if the provider sends the Medicare remittance with the request.)

At this time, redeterminations may not be faxed to TrailBlazer.

Time Limit

A request for a **redetermination** must be received by the Medicare contractor within 120 days from the date of the initial determination. (The date will appear in the top right-hand corner of the remittance advice.)

- TrailBlazer effectuates the claim if the denial is reversed and the claim is paid or partially paid. Payment will be sent with a Medicare Remittance Advice (MRA).
- If payment is not made or the decision is not reversed, the provider will receive a letter outlining the action taken and instructions for the next appeal level.

Monetary Threshold

There is no monetary threshold to meet.

For Requests Filed in Writing

The date received is defined as the date the Medicare contractor receives the request in its corporate mailroom.

Reminders

- Redetermination requests lacking required items **are not** returned to the provider. If any required item is missing, the redetermination request will be dismissed. The provider will be notified of the dismissal in writing.
- **Do not mail the Part B Reopening Request Form for a redetermination. If TrailBlazer receives this form, it will be returned and the appeal may be delayed. The time limit is critical!**
- Do not use adhesive notes in submitting a redetermination request.
- Type the redetermination forms or print legibly using a black or blue pen.
- Submit all supporting medical documentation with the request.
- Make sure the forms are signed and dated by the appropriate person.
- Review the form completely before mailing.

Contact Information

The address to submit a redetermination for each state is located on the TrailBlazer Web site at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Appeals/Redeterminations/Default.aspx>

Appeals

Correct and Timely Response

To ensure a correct and timely response, physicians/suppliers should:

- Submit a complete form (CMS-20027 Form, the TrailBlazer Medicare Part B Redetermination Form or a written redetermination request with the required information).
- Correct address usage will expedite an appeal request.
- Return a copy of the remittance advice (and claim, if possible).
- Be specific, identifying the service(s) in question and the need for the redetermination. Don't use the general phrase "please review" unless every service on the claim is in question.
- Provide any additional information needed with the redetermination request (i.e., operative report, description of service, name and dosage of drug, etc.).
- Sign the request.

Note: In situations where a provider requests an appeal and the issue involves a minor error or omission, TrailBlazer will treat the request as a request for a reopening.

Educational Resources

CMS Web Site

IOM Pub. 100-04, *Medicare Claims Processing Manual*, Chapter 29:

<http://www.cms.gov/manuals/downloads/clm104c29.pdf>

The TrailBlazer Web site offers a variety of educational resources regarding the appeal process including:

- Job aids.
- Notices.
- Appeal Web pages.
- Free online training offered through the Calendar of Events at:
<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Calendar>

Providers may click on the Appeals Web page to access these educational resources:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Appeals/Default.aspx>

Reconsiderations

Background

The Medicare claim appeals process was amended by the Medicare, Medicaid and SCHIP Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 (BIPA). Section 1869 of the Social Security Act (the Act), as amended by BIPA, requires a second level in the

Appeals

administrative appeals process called a reconsideration.

Regulations require that appellants dissatisfied with a redetermination can request a reconsideration. A signed request with written expression of dissatisfaction must be received within 180 days of the date of receipt of the redetermination. There is no monetary threshold to be met. Reconsiderations will be processed by the Qualified Independent Contractor (QIC).

Qualified Independent Contractors (QICs)

What Are QICs?

QICs are companies that will perform the second level of appeal for Medicare fee-for-service claims. They will conduct a reconsideration of a Medicare Part B appeal.

QIC reconsiderations offer:

- Faster reconsideration time frame. A QIC reconsideration must be completed within 60 days (with optional escalation).
- Panel of health professionals' review. If the denial was based on medical necessity, the QIC must have a panel of physicians or other health care professionals with the appropriate clinical expertise to review a claim.
- Detailed information in the decision letter. The QIC must include a detailed explanation of the decision, including any pertinent facts and applicable regulations and, in the case of a medical necessity denial, an explanation of the medical and scientific reason for the decision.

Time Limit

The time limit for requesting a reconsideration is 180 days from the date of the redetermination letter.

Monetary Threshold

There is no monetary threshold to meet.

Provider Requirements for a Reconsideration Request

Requests must be in writing in one of the four formats listed below:

1. Written request on a Form CMS-20033.
2. Written request on a TrailBlazer Part B Reconsideration Request Form.
3. On a completed Reconsideration Request Form included with your redetermination letter.

Or,

4. In another written format containing all of the following items:
 - The beneficiary's name.

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

- Medicare HICN.
- The specific service(s) and item(s) for which the reconsideration is requested.
- Specific dates of service.
- The name and signature of the party or representative of the party.
- The name of the contractor that made the redetermination.

Contact Information

The address to submit a reconsideration is located on the TrailBlazer Web site at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Appeals/Reconsiderations/Default.aspx>

Form CMS-20033 may be downloaded from the CMS Web site at:

<http://www.cms.gov/cmsforms/downloads/cms20033.pdf>

The TrailBlazer Part B Reconsideration Request Form may be found at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Publications/PDF/Form/ReconsiderationRequestFormPartB.pdf>

Administrative Law Judge (ALJ)

Background

Regulations require that appellants dissatisfied with a QIC decision have a right to a hearing by an ALJ. ALJ hearings are generally held by Video Teleconference (VTC) or by telephone. If a provider does not want a VTC or telephone hearing, he may ask for an in-person hearing. An appellant must demonstrate good cause for requesting an in-person hearing, and the ALJ will determine whether an in-person hearing is warranted on a case-by-case basis. Appellants may also ask the ALJ to make a decision without a hearing (on-the-record).

Hearing preparation procedures are set by the ALJ. CMS or its contractors may become a party to, or participate in, an ALJ hearing after notifying all parties to the hearing.

Time Limit

An ALJ hearing must be requested within 60 days of the date of the QIC decision.

Monetary Threshold – Amount in Controversy

For an ALJ hearing, the amount in controversy for initial determinations made on or after January 1, 2010, must equal or exceed \$130.

How amounts in controversy are calculated and the aggregation of claims to meet the amounts in controversy can be found in the Internet-Only Manual (IOM), Pub. 100-04,

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

Chapter 29.

<http://www.cms.gov/manuals/downloads/clm104c29.pdf>

Medicare Appeals Council (MAC)

If a provider is still dissatisfied with the ALJ decision, he may file a request for a review with the DAB within 60 days of receipt of the ALJ decision/dismissal. A MAC has 90 days to accept or reject the case referred.

Monetary Threshold

There is no monetary threshold for this level.

Judicial Review in U.S. District Court

The final level of appeal must be filed within 60 days of receipt of the MAC decision or declination of review by the MAC to the federal court review.

Monetary Threshold

Effective January 1, 2012, the amount in controversy changed to **\$1,350**.

Calculating the Amount in Controversy

How amounts in controversy are calculated and the aggregation of claims to meet the amounts in controversy can be found in the IOM, Pub. 100-04, Chapter 29.

<http://www.cms.gov/manuals/downloads/clm104c29.pdf>

The review must name the Secretary of Health and Human Services as the defendant and must be filed in the same district in which the beneficiary resides.

Appeals Process – Quick Chart

| Appeal Level | Time Limit for Filing Request | Monetary Threshold to Be Met | Time Limit for Issuing a Decision |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Redeterminations | 120 days from the date of receipt of the initial determination notice | None | 60 days |
| 2. Reconsiderations | 180 days from the date of receipt of the redetermination | None | 60 days |
| 3. Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Hearing | 60 days from the date of receipt of the reconsideration | Effective January 1, 2010, the amount in | 90 days |

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

| Appeal Level | Time Limit for Filing Request | Monetary Threshold to Be Met | Time Limit for Issuing a Decision |
|---|---|--|--|
| | | controversy required to request an ALJ hearing increased to \$130. | |
| 4. Medicare Appeals Council (MAC) Review (part of the Departmental Appeals Board (DAB)) | 60 days from the date of receipt of the ALJ hearing decision | None | 90 days |
| 5. Judicial Review in U.S. District Court | 60 days from the date of receipt of DAB decision or declination of review by DAB | Effective January 1, 2012 , the amount in controversy required to request a judicial review increased to \$1,350 . | No time limit for issuance of a decision |

Remittance Advice

The “MA01” remark code on the MRA legend will explain whether the claim can be appealed.

Example:

MA01

If you do not agree with what we approved for these services, you may appeal our decision. To make sure that we are fair to you, we require another individual that did not process your initial claim to conduct the review. However, in order to be eligible for a review, you must write to us within 120 days of the date of this notice, unless you have a good reason for being late.

Adjustment Information

When making an adjustment to claim information as a result of a reopening or an appeal, the original claim information is voided and replaced by the corrected data as if it were a new claim. Any original payment must be offset against other benefits due. Therefore, changes have been made to the information that is shown on provider remittance advices for adjusted claims.

Appeals

When a claim is adjusted as a result of a redetermination or reopening, the remittance advice will include all services on the original claim even if only one service was adjusted. The amount shown in the ALLOWED column will be the entire allowed amount for the service, and the amount shown in the PROV PD column will show the entire amount paid for the service, including any payment made on the original claim. The Total PROV PD amount less the PREV PD amount will equal the NET amount. The NET amount will be the additional payment the provider receives with his remittance advice.

For additional information on how to read the MRA, refer to the MRA manual located at:

<http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Publications/Training Manual/MRA.pdf>

Miscellaneous

- Providers have the same appeal rights for Comprehensive Error Rate Testing (CERT) as they do for any other claim since all the same Medicare guidelines apply. A request for an appeal based on a CERT error should be made to the MAC.
- Providers have the same appeal rights for the Recovery Audit Contractor (RAC) decisions as they do for any other claim since all the same Medicare guidelines apply. A request for an appeal based on an RAC overpayment/underpayment should be made to the MAC.

For more information about the RAC, its appeal processes and the “discussion period,” visit the CMS and Connolly Healthcare Web sites at:

- <http://www.cms.gov/RAC/>.
- http://www.connollyhealthcare.com/RAC/pages/cms_RAC_Program.aspx.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Q. If I want to send a corrected claim, do I need to appeal or just resubmit the claim with the correct information?

A. It depends on the situation.

- If the CMS claim form is returned to the provider’s office with a cover letter explaining what is missing, the corrected claim must be refiled to the Medicare contractor. (In this situation, the claim has never been entered into the Medicare claims processing system; therefore, it does not have appeal rights.)
- If a claim is rejected and the provider’s Medicare Remittance Advice (MRA) indicates message MA-130, “Your claim contains incomplete and/or invalid information and no appeal rights are afforded because the claim is unprocessable. Submit a new claim with the complete/correct information,” the provider must submit a corrected claim for processing.

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

Q. Instead of appealing a claim that has been denied for medical necessity, may I resubmit as a corrected claim?

A. A provider can resubmit any denied claim. He may choose to submit additional information that was not reported on the original claim (correction to number of units, etc.). The new claim could possibly pay or deny for a different reason.

However, if records were requested by medical staff at the initial claims processing level **and** the determination was based on those reviewed records, a corrected claim should be appealed instead of submitting it as a new claim. **Note:** A medically denied Part B claim is not subject to a reopening (correction to a minor clerical error or omission).

Q. How do I check status on my appeal?

A. A provider can call the Provider Call Center (PCC) and state that he is calling for a status of his redetermination. Although Customer Service Representatives (CSRs) will not be able to offer payment details, they can offer the following information, depending on the situation:

- **Favorable** – CSR can reveal to the caller if the appeal was received and/or if there is an adjustment processing or has already processed.
- **Unfavorable** – CSR can reveal to the caller if the appeal request was received and resulted in an unfavorable decision. The caller will be referred to the unfavorable decision letter and date of the letter.

Q. If an appeal has been requested and the 60 days have long passed, what is my next step?

A. Providers can call the PCC. All contact numbers and addresses are found on the TrailBlazer Web site at: <http://www.trailblazerhealth.com/Tools/Contacts.aspx>.

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

REVISION HISTORY

| Date | Section | Revision |
|------------------|--|---|
| February 2009 | Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) | Per Change Request (CR) 6295, added background information for annual re-evaluation of the dollar amount in controversy. No change for 2009. |
| | Judicial Review | Added CR 6295 information announcing an amount in controversy increase to \$1,220 effective May 4, 2009. |
| | Medicare Remittance Advice (MRA) | Revised MRA. Deleted a claim and adjusted money amounts. |
| August 2009 | Reopen | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revised the appropriate types of clerical errors that can be corrected through a reopening. • Revised the hours of operation of the Telephone Reopening department. • Added the provider verification requirements needed for a telephone reopening. • Revised the fax numbers for New Mexico and Oklahoma reopenings. • Deleted “newly designed” references regarding the Medicare Part B Reopening Request Form. • Added note about incorrectly using the reopening form for other purposes. |
| | Appeals | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Who Can Appeal?” section: Revised the definition for the provider of non-assigned claims. • Added language regarding filing a request for a redetermination on behalf of the beneficiary. • Deleted “newly designed” references regarding the Medicare Part B Redetermination Request Form. • Added sentence about the mandatory signing of the redetermination forms. • Added provider identification requirements for written redetermination requests not submitted on a form. • Educational Resources – added the CMS Web |

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

| Date | Section | Revision |
|---------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | site link for the Medicare Claims Processing Manual. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added link to the Claims Appeals – Flow Chart on the TrailBlazer Web site. |
| | MRA Example | Added note. |
| October 2009 | Reopen | Revised the appropriate/inappropriate types of clerical errors that can be corrected through a reopening. |
| November 2009 | Reopen | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated addresses for mailed reopening requests. Added “Miscellaneous” section. |
| | Appeals | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revised Individual Consideration Process language. Updated addresses for mailed redetermination requests. Added an additional format under the “Provider Requirements for a Reconsideration Request” and numbered steps. Updated the Medicare Remittance Advice (MRA). Added a link to the TrailBlazer Part B Reconsideration Request Form. Added “Miscellaneous” section. |
| December 2009 | Reopen | Added the Virginia reopening fax number. |
| February 2010 | Reopen | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deleted the KX modifier from claims eligible for reopenings. Added the KX modifier to the list of inappropriate examples of reopenings. |
| | Administrative Law Judge | Effective January 1, 2010, the amount in controversy changed to \$130. |
| | Medicare Appeals Council | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added sentence about the amount in controversy to proceed to the next level. |

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

| Date | Section | Revision |
|----------------|--|---|
| | Judicial Review in U.S. District Court | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deleted Change Request reference for old amount in controversy. • Added statement – Effective January 1, 2010, the amount in controversy changed to \$1,260. |
| | Appeals Quick Chart | Added the Appeals Quick Chart and updated the amounts in controversy. |
| | Miscellaneous | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated CERT appeal information. • Added RAC appeal information and Web links. |
| | Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) | Added new section with common questions. |
| July 2010 | Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added language regarding the options for an ALJ (video teleconference or in-person). • Added reference about the calculation to determine the amount in controversy and the aggregation of claims. |
| | Judicial Review in U.S. District Court | Added reference about the calculation to determine the amount in controversy and the aggregation of claims. |
| | All sections | Updated CMS Web links. |
| September 2010 | Reopen | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Removed the list of clerical errors that can be reopened and replaced with Web site link to the Reopening Web page. • Moved overpayment reference to ‘note’ in section for easier viewing. • Added Self-Service Tool information – Part B interactive decision tree and Web link. |
| | 2007 Revision History | Removed. |
| November 2010 | Reopen | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provided current link to the reopening Web page for appropriate situations for reopening requests and added statement about overpayments. • Under the inappropriate reopening examples, added bullet showing example modifiers. |

MEDICARE PART B

Appeals

| Date | Section | Revision |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added information about the reopening/redetermination decision tree self-service tool. |
| | Appeals | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under Educational Resources, added bullets (Appeals Web page and free online training). Updated Appeals Quick Chart – Added new column, Time Limits for Issuing a Decision. |
| | 2008 Revision History | Removed. |
| February 2011 | Appeals | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Judicial Review in U.S. District Court – Added statement – Effective January 1, 2011, the amount in controversy changed to \$1,300. Removed link to Part B Quick Reference and Part B Claims Appeals Flowchart. |
| July 2011 | All Sections | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed Virginia information and added Web links for the contact information. Removed remittance notice. |
| <i>January 2012</i> | <i>Appeals</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Judicial Review in U.S. District Court – Added statement – Effective January 1, 2012, the amount in controversy changed to \$1,350.</i> |